1 2 3 4 5 6 7

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

DAVID THOMPSON,

Case No. 3:23-cv-00341-MMD-CSD

Plaintiff,

ORDER

PERRY RUSSELL, et al.,

٧.

Defendants.

This action began with a pro se civil-rights complaint filed under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 by a state prisoner. Plaintiff has applied to proceed *in forma pauperis*. (ECF No. 3). The Court entered a screening order on March 13, 2024. (ECF No. 7). The screening order imposed a 90-day stay and the Court entered a subsequent order in which the parties were assigned to mediation by a court-appointed mediator. (ECF Nos. 7, 12). The parties did not reach a settlement at the mediation conference. (ECF No. 18). And Plaintiff moves the Court to extend his copy-work limit by \$50. (ECF No. 19).

Based on the financial information provided, the Court finds that Plaintiff is unable to prepay the full filing fee in this matter. The Court therefore grants Plaintiff's application for *in forma pauperis* status. But this status does not afford Plaintiff free and unlimited photocopying. See 28 U.S.C. § 1914(b) (requiring the court clerk "to collect from the parties such additional fees only as are prescribed by the Judicial Conference of the United States"); see also Gluth v. Kangas, 951 F.2d 1504, 1510 (9th Cir. 1991) (affirming injunction that did not require the department to provide indigent prisoner litigants "free and unlimited copying"). Nor does an inmate have a constitutional right to free photocopying. Johnson v. Moore, 948 F.2d 517, 521 (9th Cir. 1991).

NDOC Administrative Regulation 722.01(7)(E) provides that inmates "can only accrue a maximum of \$100 debt for copy work expenses for all cases, not per case." Courts in this district have found that they can order a prison to provide limited photocopying when it is necessary for an inmate to provide copies to the court and other

parties. See Allen v. Clark Cnty. Det. Ctr., 2:10-cv-00857-MMD-GWF, 2011 WL 886343, *2 (D. Nev. Mar. 11, 2011). Because this action is proceeding onto the normal litigation track, the Court will extend Plaintiff's copy-work limit by another \$5.

For the foregoing reasons, IT IS ORDERED that:

- 1. Plaintiff's application to proceed *in forma pauperis* (ECF No. 3) is **GRANTED**. Plaintiff will not be required to pay an initial installment of the filing fee. But in the event that this action is dismissed, the full filing fee must still be paid under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(2).
- 2. Plaintiff is permitted to maintain this action to conclusion without the necessity of prepayment of any additional fees or costs or the giving of security therefor.
- 3. Under 28 U.S.C. § 1915, the Nevada Department of Corrections will forward payments from the account of <u>DAVID THOMPSON</u>, #66826 to the Clerk of the United States District Court, District of Nevada, 20% of the preceding month's deposits (in months that the account exceeds \$10) until the full \$350 filing fee has been paid for this action. The Clerk of the Court will send a copy of this order to (1) the Finance Division of the Clerk's Office and (2) the attention of **Chief of Inmate Services for the Nevada Department of Corrections** at formapauperis@doc.nv.gov.
- 4. The Clerk of the Court will electronically **SERVE** a copy of this order and a copy of Plaintiff's first amended complaint (ECF No. 6) on the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Nevada by adding the Attorney General of the State of Nevada to the docket sheet. This does not indicate acceptance of service.
- 5. Service must be perfected within 90 days from the date of this order consistent with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(m).
- 6. Subject to the findings of the screening order (ECF No. 7), within 21 days of the date of entry of this order, the Attorney General's Office will file a notice advising the Court and Plaintiff of: (a) the names of the defendants for whom it accepts service; (b) the names of the defendants for whom it does not accept service, and (c) the names of the defendants for whom it is filing the last-known-address information under seal. As

to any of the named defendants for whom the Attorney General's Office cannot accept service, the Office will file, under seal, but will not serve the inmate Plaintiff the last known address(es) of those defendant(s) for whom it has such information. If the last known address of the defendant(s) is a post office box, the Attorney General's Office will attempt to obtain and provide the last known physical address(es).

- 7. If service cannot be accepted for any of the named defendant(s), Plaintiff will file a motion identifying the unserved defendant(s), requesting issuance of a summons, and specifying a full name and address for the defendant(s). For the defendant(s) as to which the Attorney General has not provided last-known-address information, Plaintiff will provide the full name and address for the defendant(s).
- 8. If the Attorney General accepts service of process for any named defendant(s), such defendant(s) will file and serve an answer or other response to the first amended complaint (ECF No. 6) within 60 days from the date of this order.
- 9. Plaintiff will serve upon defendant(s) or, if an appearance has been entered by counsel, upon their attorney(s), a copy of every pleading, motion or other document submitted for consideration by the Court. If Plaintiff electronically files a document with the Court's electronic-filing system, no certificate of service is required. Fed. R. Civ. P. 5(d)(1)(B); Nev. Loc. R. IC 4-1(b); Nev. Loc. R. 5-1. But if Plaintiff mails the document to the Court, Plaintiff will include with it a certificate stating the date that a true and correct copy of the document was mailed to the defendants or counsel for the defendants. If counsel has entered a notice of appearance, Plaintiff will direct service to the individual attorney named in the notice of appearance, at the physical or electronic address stated therein. The Court may disregard any document received by a district judge or magistrate judge that has not been filed with the Clerk, and any document received by a district judge, magistrate judge, or the Clerk that fails to include a certificate showing proper service when required.
 - 10. This case is no longer stayed.

11. Plaintiff's motion to extend copy-work limit (ECF No. 19) is granted in part and denied in part. The Nevada Department of Corrections will extend Plaintiff's prison copy-work limit by another \$5.

DATED THIS 30th day of May 2024.

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE